



RESEARCH ABSTRACTS FROM THE VOLUNTEER RESEARCHER PROGRAM - IPRI/FUNAG

Line of Research

Cooperation and Themes of the International Agenda

Title

Similarities and Differences between BRICS Regulations and Brazilian Regulations in Oil Energy Policy

Franciele Boeira Cataneo

Abstract

At what point and in what ways was the oil agenda incorporated into the BRICS agenda, and how has it been discussed since the group's expansion? Is it possible to identify traces of a relationship between the content of BRICS negotiations on this issue and the outcomes of Brazilian oil policy? These questions arise from the historical–institutional panorama of BRICS, which, from its formation to its expanded format, brings together countries with significant weight in both the production and consumption of oil, a resource that serves as a driver of political and economic projection for countries in the international system. The present research aims to trace the origin and evolution of the ideas shaping oil energy policy within BRICS and in Brazil, identifying possible links between them. The analysis considers energy resources as a policy sector, intra-BRICS oil and Brazil as policy content, and the group's expansion as an expression of the political process (politics). The study adopts the discursive institutionalism approach,



distinguishing between ideas with cognitive and normative content, understood as central ideational elements in the formulation of public policies, programs, and worldviews in the energy field.

Methodology

The research adopts a documentary data collection method and a categorical content analysis approach. The documentary corpus will consist of official BRICS and Brazilian documents, including summit declarations, ministerial meeting minutes, energy plans, legislation, norms, regulations, and agreements in the oil sector. The analytical strategy employs the process tracing method, through which the study seeks to present a causal graph, understood as a map of the events and normative milestones related to the issue under analysis. The main theoretical references include authors such as Vivien Schmidt, Andrew Bennett, Andrea Steiner, Luciano Losekann, Renato Baumann, Renato Perissinotto, and Wellington Nunes, as well as institutional repositories from IPEA, FUNAG, and EPE, among others.

Research stage

The research is ongoing.

References

BAUMANN, R. (2018). O BRICS: desafios para o Brasil. In: DESIDERÁ NETO, Walter Antonio; outros (orgs.). Política externa brasileira em debate: dimensões e estratégias de inserção internacional no pós-crise de 2008. Brasília: Ipea; Funag, p. 167–188.

BRICS BRASIL. (2025). Compromisso por uma transição energética justa e financeiramente viável marca comunicado conjunto de energia do BRICS. BRICS Brasil. Disponível em: <https://brics.br/pt-br/noticias/compromisso-por-uma-transicao-energetica-justa-efinanceiramente-viavel-marca-comunicado-conjunto-de-energia-do-brics>



EIU. (2023). BRICS(+) Impact: Plaudits and Brickbats. Londres: Economist Intelligence Unit. Disponível em: <https://www.eiu.com/n/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/BRICS-Impact.pdf>.

GONZALES MIGUEZ, J. D. (2022). A declaração conjunta China-Federação Russa: mudança global do clima e o BRICS. *Conjuntura Internacional*, v. 19, n. 3.

GUERRERO, M. G. (2022). A neoinstitutionalist proposal to study the BRICS. *Contexto Internacional*, v. 44, n. 2.

GUITARRARI, L. G. (2023). A expansão do BRICS e seu impacto ao mercado do petróleo. FGV Energia. Disponível em: https://fgvenergia.fgv.br/sites/fgvenergia.fgv.br/files/a_expansao_do_brics_0.pdf

KANTER C.; JETSCHGO-MORCILLO, M. (2023). BRICS expansion: Geopolitical power shift or transactional alliance? Konrad Adenauer Stiftung. Disponível em: <https://www.kas.de/en/brics-plus>.

LEÃO, A. (2025). Programa BRICS: os relatórios da Plataforma de Cooperação em Pesquisa Energética dos BRICS (2020–2025). Policy Brief No. 4. Rio de Janeiro: INEEP.

LOSEKANN, L.; TAVARES, F. B. Política Energética no BRICS: desafios da transição energética. Instituto de Pesquisa Econômica Aplicada (IPEA): Rio de Janeiro, 2019. Disponível em: http://www.ipea.gov.br/portal/images/stories/PDFs/Tds/td_2495_web.pdf

MENDES, A. P. do A. et al. Panoramas setoriais 2030: petróleo e gás, 2017. Disponível em: https://web.bndes.gov.br/bib/jspui/bitstream/1408/14243/2/Panoramas%20Setoriais%202030%20-%20Petr%C3%B3leo%20e%20G%C3%A1s_P.pdf

SCHMIDT, V. (2008). Discursive institutionalism: The explanatory power of ideas and discourse. *Annu. Rev. Political. Science*, v. 11, p. 303-326.

SCHMIDT, V. (2011). Reconciling ideas and institutions through discursive institutionalism. *Ideas and politics in social science research*, v. 1, p. 76-95.

SILVA, E. Z. da; KAUCHAKJE, S. (2023). Governança global das migrações internacionais: um caminho possível? *Interações, Campo Grande*, v. 24, n. 3, p. 1039-1056.

STUENKEL, O. (2017). BRICS e o futuro da ordem global. 1ª ed.; tradução Adriano Scandolara. Rio de Janeiro / São Paulo: Paz e Terra.