

Arsenic mobility in soil of Paracatu

M. M. FERREIRA^{1*}, S. R. PATCHINEELAM¹,
Z. C. CASTILHOS², J. GERTH³ AND W. CALMANO³

¹Environmental Geochemistry Department, Fluminense
Federal University, Niteroi, RJ, Brazil
(*marcosferreira@id.uff.br)

²Center for Mineral Technology, Ministry of Science and
Technology, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

³Institute for Environmental Technology and Energy
Economics, Technical University of Hamburg-Harburg,
HH, Germany

Introduction

The mobility and the bioavailability of arsenic is influenced by a particular solid phase of which it is associated. Based in the concepts of natural attenuation/intrinsic remediation [1], this study estimate the potential arsenic mobility and release by a sequential extraction method [2] and column leaching tests [3] in 3 samples of contaminated soils. The samples used in this work were collected around a gold mine area, in the Paracatu city, Minas Gerais, SE Brazil.

Results

Table 1: Arsenic speciation obtained by the sequential extraction method (mg.kg⁻¹ dry weight).

Step / Sample	P1 Soil	P2 Soil	P3 Soil
Exchang. Ions	0.3	< L.D.	< L.D.
Carbonates	1.1	0.7	< L.D.
Mn Oxides	0.5	0.5	0.1
Amorphous Fe Oxi.	265.9	219.0	2.9
Sulphides and Org.Mat.	< L.D.	1.9	< L.D.
Metals Bound in Lithog. Mat.	943.3	356.2	927.6

Table 2: Arsenic concentrations in leaching extracts (µg.L⁻¹).

Leaching	Time (h)	P1 Soil	P2 Soil	P3 Soil
Deionized Water	24	18.9	14.0	16.9
Deionized Water	72	13.6	10.1	16.7
Calcium Chloride 0,01 M	192	< L.D.	< L.D.	< L.D.
Calcium Chloride 0,01 M	336	< L.D.	< L.D.	< L.D.
Deionized Water	384	4.6	7.5	6.1
Deionized Water	408	2.8	3.7	9.5

The sequential extraction results indicate that the presence of As is in a form less available in the soil, binding to iron oxides and compounds partially oxidized from the original mineral. Leaching tests clearly show a retaining process of arsenic with the addition of calcium chloride solutions. For a more conclusive evaluation about the process described here, further studies are currently being carried out.

[1] Gerth *et al* (2001), *Treatment of Contaminated soil*, 603-614. [2] Salomons & Förstner (1980) *Environ. Tech. Lett.* **1**:506–518. [3] Dankwarth *et al.* (2000). *In: 11th ICHMET*, 1277.